No. 1:16-cv-08423

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

In Re: Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc., et al., Debtors.

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT OPERATING COMPANY, INC., ET AL.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

BOKF, N.A., WILMINGTON SAVINGS FUND SOCIETY, FSB, RELATIVE VALUE-LONG/SHORT DEBT PORTFOLIO, A SERIES OF UNDERLYING FUNDS TRUST, TRILOGY PORTFOLIO COMPANY, LLC, AND FREDRICK BARTON DANNER, Defendants-Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois (Goldgar, J.) Chapter 11 Case No. 15-01145 Adversary Proceeding No. 15-00149

AMENDED RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO APPELLEES' MOTION TO DISMISS

Paul M. Basta, P.C. John C. O'Quinn KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Nicole L. Greenblatt, P.C. KIRKLAND & ELLIS KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP INTERNATIONAL LLP **KIRKLAND & ELLIS** 655 15th Street, N.W. INTERNATIONAL LLP Washington, D.C. 20005 601 Lexington Avenue Tel: (202) 879-5000 New York, N.Y. 10022 (202) 879-5200 (212) 446-4800 Fax: Tel: john.oquinn@kirkland.com Fax: (212) 446-4900

James H.M. Sprayregen, P.C. David R. Seligman, P.C. David J. Zott, P.C. Jeffrey J. Zeiger, P.C. KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654

Tel: (312) 862-2000 Fax: (312) 862-2200

Counsel for Debtors/Plaintiffs-Appellants

RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS

Appellants Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc. ("CEOC") et al. respond to the Motion to Dismiss Appeal filed by Appellees Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, Relative Value-Long/Short Debt Portfolio, a Series of Underlying Funds Trust, and Trilogy Portfolio Company, LLC.

- 1. As Appellees recognize, the basis for the Debtors' injunction and corresponding appeal is to protect the contribution by Caesars Entertainment Company ("CEC"), the Sponsors, and others that is at the heart of the Debtors' proposed plan of reorganization. (Mot. ¶ 3) Appellees have now moved to dismiss the Debtors' appeal on the grounds that "the basis for the Debtors' requested injunction, and this entire appeal, no longer exists." (*Id.* ¶ 3) According to Appellees, "as of [Friday], there is no CEC settlement left to protect" (*Id.* ¶ 10), and thus "the appeal no longer should be prosecuted." (*Id.* ¶ 9) Appellees' motion therefore rests on the assumption that CEC and other contributing parties would withdraw their contributions last Friday.
- 2. Appellees prematurely filed their Motion, however, as CEC and others did not withdraw contributions last Friday but instead

continued to negotiate. As a result of these negotiations, CEC, the Sponsors, and other parties significantly increased plan contributions by more than \$1.3 billion, and reached an agreement in principle whereby the Official Committee of Second Priority Noteholders (the "Noteholder Committee") and certain of the Appellees committed to support the Debtors' proposed plan of reorganization. (Decl. of D. Seligman, ¶ 3) Because of this agreement, recoveries to the second lien (including the Appellees here) will noteholders increase approximately 40% to more than 65%. (Id.)These significant developments have further strengthened the basis for both the injunction and the appeal, and it is now more important than ever that the contributions and the Debtors' plan be protected.

3. Over the past week, the Debtors, CEC, the Sponsors, and the Noteholder Committee have engaged in intense, around-the-clock negotiations that ultimately resulted in an agreement in principle and a term sheet acceptable to all parties. (*Id.*) As part of this agreement, the Sponsors agreed to contribute approximately \$950 million in additional direct equity in CEC. (*Id.*) With this increased contribution, CEC, the Sponsors, and other parties have now agreed to contribute

\$5 billion the Debtors' than to proposed plan of more reorganization. (Id.) Moreover, because of these contributions, every major creditor constituency now supports the Debtors' proposed plan of reorganization. (Id. \P 4) This includes all of the Appellees except Trilogy Portfolio Company, LLC, who holds approximately \$9.4 million in claims against CEOC.¹ (Id.)

- 4. As a result of these significant positive developments, the scenario described in the Appellees' Motion never came to pass. Thus, the entire basis of the Motion—that there is no contribution left to protect—has vanished. For that reason alone the Motion should be denied.
- 5. More importantly, these developments further support Appellants' arguments in support of the injunction and the appeal. As a result of these increased contributions, it is even more imperative that the Debtors obtain an injunction protecting that contribution—particularly where, as here, the Debtors' proposed plan is now supported by every major creditor constituency but additional time is

After Debtors filed their Response, they were informed that one of the Appellees, Relative Value-Long/Short Debt Portfolio, A Series of Underlying Funds Trust, had reached an agreement in principle to support the Debtors' proposed plan of reorganization.

necessary to fully document the agreements. Absent the requested injunction, the Debtors' proposed plan of reorganization and a successful reorganization would be imperiled, which is the very outcome a § 105 injunction is designed to prevent.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

Dated: September 27, 2016

Chicago, Illinois

/s/ John C. O'Quinn

James H.M. Sprayregen, P.C.

David R. Seligman, P.C.

David J. Zott, P.C.

Jeffrey J. Zeiger, P.C.

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP

300 North LaSalle

Chicago, Illinois 60654

Telephone: (312) 862-2000

Facsimile: (312) 862-2200

Paul M. Basta, P.C.

Nicole L. Greenblatt, P.C.

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP

601 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022-4611

Telephone: (212) 446-4800

Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

John C. O'Quinn

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP

655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005-5793

Telephone: (202) 879-5000

Facsimile: (202) 879-5200

Counsel to Debtors / Appellant-Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8015(a)(7)(C), the undersigned

hereby certifies that this brief complies with the type-volume limitation

of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8015(a)(7)(B)(i).

1. Exclusive of the exempted portions of the brief, as provided

in Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8015(a)(7)(B)(iii), the brief contains 632 words.

2. The brief has been prepared in proportionally spaced

typeface using Microsoft Word 2010 in 14 point Century Schoolbook

font. As permitted by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8015(a)(7)(C), the undersigned

has relied upon the word count feature of this word processing system

in preparing this certificate.

Dated: September 27, 2016

/s/ John C. O'Quinn

John C. O'Quinn

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 27th day of September, 2016, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notice of electronic filing to all CM/ECF participants, resulting in service upon all counsel of record.

s/ John C. O'Quinn John C. O'Quinn